

## Conflicts of interest

None declared.

## References

- Sar-Pomian M, Konop M, Gala K, Rudnicka L, Olszewska M. Scalp involvement in pemphigus: a prognostic marker. Postepy Dermatol Alergol. 2018;35:293–8.
- Sar-Pomian M, Rudnicka L, Olszewska M. The significance of scalp involvement in pemphigus: a literature review. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018:6154397.
- Lehrhoff S, Miller K, Fischer M, Kamino H, Meehan S. Localized pemphigus with vegetative features. Dermatol Online J. 2012;18:11.
- Gu A, Zhang L, Xiao Y, Liang J. Pemphigus vulgaris with vegetating feature confined to the scalp. Int J Dermatol. 2018;57:e88–90.
- Zaraa I, El Euch D, Kort R, et al. Localized pemphigus: a report of three cases. Int J Dermatol. 2010;49:715–6.
- Ohashi T, Ohtsuka M, Kikuchi N, Yamamoto T. Verrucous variant of pemphigus foliaceus. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2020;45:584–5.
- Ruocco V, Brunetti G, Puca RV, Ruocco E. The immunocompromised district: a unifying concept for lymphoedematous, herpes-infected, and otherwise damaged sites. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2009;23:1364–73.

Rifkiye Kucukoglu   a, Tugba Atci   a,\* b, Goncagul Babuna-Kobaner  a, Nesimi Buyukbabani  b

<sup>a</sup> Department of Dermatology & Venereology, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pathology, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding author.

E-mail: [tugba.ertan@istanbul.edu.tr](mailto:tugba.ertan@istanbul.edu.tr) (T. Atci).

Received 10 November 2021; accepted 7 January 2022

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2022.01.007>

0365-0596/ © 2022 Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia.

Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Sensitization to rubber allergens among 1,162 patients tested with the Brazilian standard battery\*



Dear Editor,

Widespread sensitization to rubber components is well known and may be caused by latex or synthetic rubber additives. Other products, such as insecticides, clothing, medicines, and paints have similar chemical additives. Latex primarily causes type I and rubber vulcanizers and antioxidants are responsible for type IV allergies.<sup>1,2</sup>

The Brazilian Standard Battery (BPP, *Bateria Padrão Brasileira*) features rubber mixtures (carba, thiuram, mercapto, PPD) and other related allergens, such as hydroquinone, ethylenediamine, and paraphenylenediamine (PPDA). Hydroquinone is an antioxidant, rarely used in industry at present.<sup>3</sup> Ethylenediamine dihydrochloride stabilizes steroid creams and latex but it is not tested in other standard series.<sup>4</sup> PPDA belongs to the benzene group and can cross-react with PPD-mix (*N*-Isopropyl-*N*-phenyl Paraphenylenediamine [IPPD], *N*-cyclohexyl-*N*-phenyl-*p*-phenylenediamine,*N,N*-diphenyl-*p*-phenylenediamine).<sup>5</sup>

From October 2009 to October 2018, 1,162 patients with suspected allergic contact dermatitis were treated at the Dermatology Annex of Hospital das Clínicas. They were tested with the BPP (FDA-allergenic, RJ, Brazil) using Finn chambers® (Oy, Finland) and readings were made at 48 hs and 96 hs, following the criteria of the ICDRG (International Contact Dermatitis Research Group).<sup>6</sup> Data on age, occupation, affected sites, history of atopy, and allergen positivity were collected in Excel® throughout these years.

In this retrospective study, the data from the aforementioned worksheet were consulted and, when necessary, the medical records as well, to complement the necessary information.

A total of 120 patients (10.3%) tested positive for rubber allergens; of these, 98 (81.7%) were clinically relevant based on patient history and allergen exposure. The demographic characteristics were described according to the MOAHLFA index (Tables 1 and 2).

The prevalence of positivity for rubber mixtures was: carba, 41.7%; thiuram, 30%; mercapto, 15%; and PPD, 5% (Table 2).

An association between rubber allergens (cross-reactions) was observed in 35.8% of the cases: carbamates with thiurams in 16.6% and mercaptobenzothiazole with carbamates in 1.7%. Furthermore, the association between

**Table 1** MOAHLFA\* index in patients with positivity to rubber allergens.

	n (%)
M	59 (49,2)
O	61 (50.8) (40,8% – construction workers)
A	30 (25)
H	70 (50.8)
L	48 (40)
F	43 (34.2)
A	82 (68.3)

M, Male sex; O, Occupational Dermatitis; A, History of Atopy; H, Dermatitis of the hands; L, Dermatitis of the legs; F, Dermatitis of the face; A, age 40 years or older.

\* Utter W, Schnuch A, Gefeller O, ESCD working group: European Surveillance System on Contact Allergies. Guidelines for the descriptive presentation and statistical analysis of contact allergy data. Contact Dermatitis. 2004;51:47-56.

\* Study conducted at the Hospital das Clínicas da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

**Table 2** Frequencies of sensitization to the tested substances for rubber allergy.

Total number of cases	n	%
PPD-mix	6	5
Hydroquinone	6	5
Mercapto-mix	18	15
Thiuram-mix	36	30
Ethylenediamine	4	3,3
PPDA	49	40.8 (33 – 27.5% related to hair dyes)
Polysensitization > 3 allergens	39	32.5

carbamates, mercapto and thiuram was observed in 8.3% of patients (**Table 3**).

Conde-Salazar et al. reported 14.7% of sensitization to rubber additives in 4,680 tested patients.<sup>1</sup> Holness and Nethercott tested 1,670 patients, with 8.9% positivity to at least one rubber allergen, similar to what was found in the present study (10.2%).<sup>7</sup> The ESSCA (European Surveillance System on Contact Allergies), when testing standard and rubber-specific batteries, found 8.8% of positivity. Other authors found between 3.8% and 15% of positive reactions to rubber components using standard batteries<sup>8</sup> (**Table 4**).

According to the MOHALFA index, the most affected

location is the hands, both in the present and in other reports.<sup>1,2,7,9</sup> Atopy was present in 25% of the patients in the present report, in contrast to 4.2% in the study by Conde-Salazar et al.<sup>1</sup>

**Table 3** Associations of rubber mixtures with test positivity for each tested type.

Mixture	Thiuram	Mercapto*	PPD	PPDA+	Mercapto + Thiuram
Carbamates	20 / 120 16.6%	2/120 1.70% Mercapto 0	5/120 4.20% PPD 0	0 0	10 / 120 8.30% PPDA 3/120 2.50% PPDA 5/120 4.20% PPDA 1/120 0.80%
Thiuram	0	0	0	0	0
Mercapto	0	0	0	0	0
PPD	0	0	0	0	0
Mercapto	0	0	0	0	0

\*PPDA, Paraphenylenediamine; \*Mercapto, Mercaptobenzothiazole; PPD (mix of N-isopropyl-N-fenil parafenilenodiamina, N-ciclohexil-N-fenil parafenilenodiamina, N, N-difenil parafenilenodiamina).

**Table 4** Comparison with other studies of positivity to rubber allergens.

Study	Number of patients	≥1 rubber allergen (%)	Standard series	Rubber-specific supplementary series
Conde-Salazar <sup>1</sup>	4.68	14.7%	Yes	Yes
Holness and Nethercott <sup>7</sup>	1.67	8.9%	Yes	Yes
Bendewald <sup>2</sup>	773	31.7%	Yes	Yes
Present study	1,162	10.3%	Yes	No

contact with para-amino agents present in permanent hair dyes.<sup>10</sup> In the present study, PPDA showed 40.8% of sensitization, with 27.5% being related to hair dyes in women.

Conde-Salazar et al. found chromate sensitivity in 47% of construction workers allergic to rubber, whereas the present study found 40.8% and proposed that chromate would act as a strong sensitizer and irritant, facilitating greater sensitization to gloves.<sup>1</sup>

Positive associations among rubber additives are known.<sup>1</sup> The present study showed 35.8% of these associations, with carba-thiuram being the most frequent one (16.6%), followed by carba-mercapto-thiuram (8.3%). During vulcanization, new compounds can be formed by reactions between carbamates, thiuram, and/or mercapto-benzothiazoles. Newly formed components can also cause sensitization; thus, it is recommended to test samples from gloves or boots to increase the sensitivity of patch tests.<sup>9</sup> Hydroquinone monobenzyl ether can cause leukoderma, but its current use in industry is rare. Its prevalence in rubber-specific batteries was 0.82% in European patients. Ethylenediamine dihydrochloride showed lower positivity rates in 2,027 reported patients (0.69%).<sup>9</sup> Removed from other series, they are present in the BPP and had a low prevalence in the present study (3.3% ethylenediamine and 5% hydroquinone).

In conclusion, there is currently an increase in awareness of carbamates at a global level. In addition, they are the most used accelerators in the industry, as the use of thiuroms and mercaptos has been reduced by manufacturers. The BPP can be considered an initial step to studying rubber allergy, but it does not seem to be sufficient, according to the data of the present work. The adoption of a specific supplementary series, in addition to testing with boot and glove fragments,<sup>2,8,9</sup> is recommended for better diagnostic accuracy.<sup>2,8,9</sup>

## Financial support

None declared.

## Authors' contributions

**Vanessa Barreto Rocha:** design and planning of the study; data collection; drafting and editing of the manuscript; or critical review of important intellectual content; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; intellectual participation in the propaedeutic and/or therapeutic conduct of the studied cases; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

**Erica Possa de Abreu:** design and planning of the study; data collection, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and editing of the manuscript or critical review of important intellectual content; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; critical review of the literature; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

**Maria Antonieta Rios Scherrer:** Design and planning of the study; data collection, or analysis and interpretation of data; statistical analysis; drafting and editing of the manuscript; or critical review of important intellectual content; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; effective participation in research orientation; intellectual participation in the propaedeutic and/or therapeutic con-

duct of the studied cases; critical review of the literature; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

None declared.

## References

- Conde-Salazar L, del-Río E, Guimaraens D, Domingo AG. Type IV allergy to rubber additives: a 10-year study of 686 cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1993;29:176-80.
- Bendewald MJ, Farmer SA, Davis MDP. An 8-year retrospective review of patch testing with rubber allergens: the mayo clinic experience. *Dermatitis.* 2010;21:33-40.
- Nakayama H. Pigmented contact dermatitis and chemical depigmentation. In: Johansen JD, Frosch PJ, Lepoittevin JP, editors. *Contact dermatitis.* 5th ed. Berlin: Springer; 2011. p. 389.
- Anderesen KE, White IR, Goossens A. Allergens from the European baseline series. In: Johansen JD, Frosch PJ, Lepoittevin JP, editors. *Contact dermatitis.* 5th ed. Berlin: Springer; 2011. p. 576.
- Baskettier D, Johansen JD, McFadden, et al. Hair dyes. In: Johansen JD, Frosch PJ, Lepoittevin JP, editors. *Contact dermatitis.* 5th ed. Berlin: Springer; 2011. p. 635.
- Tam I, Schalock PC, González E, Yu J. Patch testing results from the Massachusetts General Hospital contact dermatitis clinic, 2007-2016. *Dermatitis.* 2020;31:202-8.
- Holness DL, Nethercott JR. Results of patch testing with a special series of rubber allergens. *Contact Dermatitis.* 1997;36:207-11.
- Uter W, Warburton K, Weisshaar E, Simon D, Ballmer-Weber B, Mahler V, et al. Patch test results with rubber series in the European Surveillance System on Contact Allergies (ESSCA), 2013/14. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2016;75:345-52.
- Warburton KL, Uter W, Geier J, Spiewak R, Mahler V, Crépy M-N, et al. Patch testing with rubber series in Europe: a critical review and recommendation. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2016;76:195-203.
- Buttazzo S, Prodi A, Fortina AB, Corradini MT, Filon FL. Sensitization to rubber accelerators in Northeastern Italy: The Triveneto Patch Test Database. *Dermatitis.* 2016;27:222-6.

**Maria Antonieta Rios Scherrer**  ,   
**Erica Possa de Abreu**  , **Vanessa Barreto Rocha**  ,\*

<sup>a</sup> Service of Dermatology, Hospital das Clínicas da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail: [abreuerica20@gmail.com](mailto:abreuerica20@gmail.com) (V.B. Rocha).

Received 7 January 2022; accepted 14 February 2022

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2022.02.003>

0365-0596/ © 2022 Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia.

Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).