



SOCIEDADE BRASILEIRA  
DE DERMATOLOGIA

# Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia

[www.anaisdedermatologia.org.br](http://www.anaisdedermatologia.org.br)



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Measurement of pesticides in hair samples from pemphigus foliaceus and pemphigus vulgaris patients in Southeastern Brazil<sup>☆</sup>



Leonardo La Serra <sup>ID a</sup>, Adriana Martinelli Salathiel <sup>ID a</sup>, Rafael Lanaro <sup>ID b,c</sup>,  
Bruno de Martinis <sup>ID d</sup>, Ana Maria Roselino <sup>ID a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Dermatology, University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Poison Control Center, Faculty of Medical Sciences, State University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, State University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Philosophy, Sciences and Letters of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

Received 1 September 2022; accepted 31 October 2022

Available online 17 May 2023

## KEYWORDS

Diazinon, dichlorvos;  
Hydrocarbons,  
chlorinated;  
Organophosphate;  
Pemphigus;  
Pesticides

## Abstract

**Background:** Pesticides, mainly organophosphates (OP), have been related to increased risk of pemphigus vulgaris (PV) and pemphigus foliaceus (PF), nevertheless, their measurement has not been determined in pemphigus patients.

**Objective:** To evaluate pesticide exposure and pesticide measurement, comparing PV, PF and control groups in Southeastern Brazil.

**Methods:** Information about urban or rural residency and exposure to pesticides at the onset of pemphigus was assessed by questionnaire interview; hair samples from the scalp of PV, PF, and controls were tested for OP and organochlorines (OC) by gas-phase chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry.

**Results:** The minority of PV (2 [7.1%] of 28) and PF (7 [18%] of 39), but none of the 48 controls, informed living in rural areas at the onset of pemphigus ( $p = 0.2853$ ). PV (33.3%), PF (38.5%), and controls (20%) informed exposure to pesticides ( $p = 0.186$ ). Twenty-one (14.8%) of 142 individuals tested positive for OP and/or OC: PV (2 [6.3%] of 32) and PF (11 [25.6%] of 43) had similar pesticides contamination as controls (8 [11.9%] of 67) ( $p = 0.4928$ ;  $p = 0.0753$ , respectively), but PF presented higher contamination than PV ( $p = 0.034$ ). PV did not present any positivity for OP. Three (7%) PF tested positive for both OP and OC. Some PF tested positive for three or four OP, mainly diazinon and dichlorvos.

<sup>☆</sup> Study conducted at the Division of Dermatology, University Hospital of the Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail: [amfrosel@fmrp.usp.br](mailto:amfrosel@fmrp.usp.br) (A.M. Roselino).

**Study limitation:** Lack of data for some controls.

**Conclusion:** Although the frequency of PV and PF patients exposed to pesticides was similar, pesticides were more frequently detected in hair samples from PF compared to PV. The cause-effect relationship still needs to be determined.

© 2023 Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Pemphigus encompasses a group of autoimmune bullous diseases resulting from the production of autoantibodies against Desmogleins (Dsg). IgG autoantibodies against Dsg1 affect the skin in pemphigus foliaceus (PF), and IgG autoantibodies against Dsg3 and Dsg1 affect mucous membranes and the skin in pemphigus vulgaris (PV).<sup>1</sup> PF is endemic in Brazil, where it is known as "fogo selvagem". More recently, PV diagnosis surpassed PF diagnosis in some Brazilian regions.<sup>2,3</sup> While HLA class I and II alleles differentiate PF from PV clinical forms, their etiopathogenesis is not fully understood.<sup>4–6</sup>

Exogenous factors have been associated with pemphigus pathogenesis, including drugs and nutritional elements rich in thiol, heavy metals, herpes virus infection, and insect bite.<sup>7–14</sup> Furthermore, in case-control studies based on interviews, exposure to pesticides, mainly organophosphates (OP), has been related to PV in Asia, Europe, and North America,<sup>15–19</sup> and also to "fogo selvagem" in Brazil.<sup>20</sup> PV following contact dermatitis with dihydrodiphenyltrichloroethane and diazinon has been described.<sup>21,22</sup> By using normal human skin as substrate and PV serum samples, chlorpyrifos induced pemphigus in an in vitro experiment.<sup>23</sup>

There is no report of a larger approach regarding pesticide measurement in a wider Brazilian pemphigus population for a better understanding of its environmental link to pemphigus. This study aimed to evaluate exposure to pesticides by means of (i) A questionnaire-based interview and (ii) Quantitative measurement of OP and organochlorines (OC) in scalp hair samples from PV and PF patients, and controls living in the northeastern region of the state of São Paulo, a southeastern Brazilian region where both clinical forms of pemphigus are prevalent.<sup>3</sup>

## Materials and methods

In this case-control transversal study, three groups were compared: PV patients (designated PV hereafter), PF patients (designated PF hereafter), and controls. This study was approved by the local Committee for Ethics in Research of the University Hospital of Ribeirão Preto Medical School of the University of São Paulo (# 2248/2010), and all the participants provided an informed written consent form.

### Groups of patients and controls

Seventy-five pemphigus patients assisted at the Dermatological Outpatient Clinic of the University Hospital of Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Brazil, were enrolled in the study. Among them, 32 and 43 had PV (median age = 50 years, 75% female) and PF (median

age = 46 years, 58.1% female), respectively (Table 1). PV and PF diagnosis was based on clinical, histopathological, and immunofluorescence (direct, indirect, or both) features and determination of autoantibodies against Dsg1 and Dsg3 by ELISA (MBL, Japan). Controls consisted of 67 healthy pemphigus patients' relatives and neighbors (median age = 46 years, 70.1% female) (Table 1). PV, PF, and controls lived in the northeastern region of the state of São Paulo, southeastern Brazil.

### Questionnaires

The questionnaires, designed by the authors, were applied to 28 PV and 39 PF patients, and to 48 controls. The answers to each item of the questionnaires were incomplete amongst the three groups. Thus, 28 PV patients and 40 controls answered the "Area of residency"; and 27 PV patients and 48 controls answered the "Exposure to pesticides" questions (Table 1). The subjects were interviewed per occasion of their visit to the Dermatological Outpatient Clinic of the University Hospital of Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Brazil. Two main pieces of information were analyzed: area of residency (rural or urban) per occasion of the onset of pemphigus and exposure to pesticides related to professional activities or other causes.

### Hair sample collection

With the aid of a sterile scissor, nearly 500 mg of hair was cut from the root scalp in the occipital area and stored in individual paper envelopes at room temperature until analysis. The samples were tested for OP and OC pesticides.

### Organophosphate and organochlorine analytical methods and acceptable values

The pesticides belonging to the OP and OC classes were analyzed by Gas-phase Chromatography coupled with Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). The extraction procedure for OP and OC hair analysis was the same as the one described by Tsatsakis et al.<sup>24</sup> and adapted to the instrumental conditions of the Laboratory of Forensic Toxicology of the Department of Chemistry of the Faculty of Philosophy, Sciences and Letters at Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Brazil. The experiments were carried out on a 7890A gas chromatograph coupled to a 5975C Inert mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, USA), equipped with a DB-5MSUI (30 m × 0.25 mm/i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) chromatographic column (Agilent Technologies, USA). The analytes were eluted with oven linear programming temperature, as described below: 60 °C for 2.0 min, increase to 315 °C at

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical data, area of residency and exposure to pesticides on the onset of disease, recorded in questionnaires, and organophosphate and organochlorine detection in hair samples from pemphigus vulgaris (PV) and pemphigus foliaceus (PF) patients and Controls

|  | PV                           | PF  | Controls   | p-value  |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
|  | n = 32                       | n = 43  | n = 53   |  |
| <b>Age (years) Median (p25–p75)</b>              | 50 (36–62)<br>n = 32 (%)     | 46 (31–58)<br>n = 43 (%)                        | 46 (38–59)<br>n = 67 (%)                         | 0.6513   |
| <b>Gender</b>                                    | Male<br>Female<br>n = 31     | 8 (25.0)<br>24 (75.0)<br>n = 43                 | 18 (41.9)<br>25 (58.1)<br>NA                     | 0.2218   |
| <b>Disease duration (years) Median (p25–p75)</b> | 4 (2–6)                      | 7 (5–14)  |  | 0.005  |
| <b>Questionnaire interview*</b>                  |                              | n = 28 (%)                                      | n = 39 (%)                                       | a,b 0.2853                                       |
| <b>Area of residency</b>                         | Rural<br>Urban<br>n = 27 (%) | 2 (7.1) <sup>a</sup><br>26 (92.9)<br>n = 39 (%) | 7 (18.0) <sup>b</sup><br>32 (82.0)<br>n = 40 (%) | 0 (0)<br>48 (100)<br>0.1860                      |
| <b>Exposure to Pesticides</b>                    | Yes<br>No                    | 9 (33.3)<br>18 (66.7)                           | 15 (38.5)<br>24 (61.5)                           | 8 (20.0)<br>32 (80.0)                            |
| <b>Pesticides hair detection</b>                 |                              | n = 32 (%)                                      | n = 43 (%)                                       | n = 67 (%)                                       |
| Organophosphates                                 | Yes<br>No                    | 0 (0)<br>32 (100)                               | 9 (20.9) <sup>b</sup><br>34 (79.1)               | 6 (9.0) <sup>c</sup><br>61 (91.0)                |
| Organochlorines                                  | Yes<br>No                    | 2 (6.3)<br>30 (93.7)                            | 5 (11.6)<br>38 (88.4)                            | 2 (3.0)<br>65 (97.0)                             |
| Total  | Yes<br>No                    | 2 (6.3) <sup>a</sup><br>30 (93.7)               | 11 (25.6) <sup>a,b</sup><br>32 (74.4)            | 8 (11.9) <sup>c</sup><br>59 (88.1)               |
|  |                              |   |  | a,bc 0.043<br>ab 0.034<br>bc 0.0753<br>ac 0.4928 |

NA, Not Applicable.

\* Individuals in the PV and control groups answered the questionnaire disproportionately.

§ Three PF patients had both organophosphate and organochlorine detection.

30 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>, and 315 °C for 2 min (total time of 12.5 min). The injection port and transfer line temperatures were set to 280 °C. Ultrapure helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.0 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>, and the samples were injected in the splitless mode (ultra-inert deactivated split liner with glass wool was used to improve chromatography). The mass spectrometer was set to SIM mode. The data were acquired, and the instrument was controlled with the Agilent Technologies ChemStation software, version E02021431, supplied by the manufacturer. Supplementary Table 1 provides the LOD/LOQ of the pesticides. The Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) values for the OP detected in this study are as follows: chlorpyrifos (10 pg/mg), diazinon (2 pg/mg), and malathion (300 pg/mg).<sup>25</sup> The use of dichlorvos, methyl parathion, and parathion has been banned. The use of OC aldrin, BHC, DDT, and endrin has also been banned, so no ADI values are available for them. The samples that tested positive but below the limit of detection (< LOQ = Limit of Quantification) were included in our study.

## Statistical analysis

Kruskall-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were used to analyze the age and duration of the disease, respectively. Fischer's test was used to analyze variable frequencies; p ≤ 0.05 was adopted, and a two-tailed comparison was employed. Statis-

tical analysis was performed by using the SPSS 26.0 software (IBM, USA).

## Results

### Demographic and clinical data

The studied groups composed of 32 PV, 43 PF, and controls did not differ in terms of age or gender. Age data from 14 of 67 controls were not assessed. Disease lasted longer in PF than in PV (p = 0.005) (Table 1).

### Questionnaire-based interview data

There was no statistical difference comparing the urban or rural area of residency among PV, PF, and controls (p = 0.2853). Two (7.1%) of 28 PV and 7 (18%) of 39 PF, but none of the 48 controls, informed living in rural areas. Positive or negative information regarding exposure to pesticides was similar among the groups (p = 0.186) (Table 1).

### OP and OC measurement in hair samples

OP and OC were measured in hair samples from 32 PV, 43 PF, and 67 controls (Table 1). Twenty-one (14.8%) of 142 individuals tested positive for OP, OC, or both. PF (11 [25.6%] of 43) were more contaminated than PV (2 [6.3%] of 32), but as contaminated as controls (8 [11.9%] of 67) (p = 0.034 and

**Table 2** Demographic and questionnaires data, and classes of pesticides detected in hair samples from pemphigus vulgaris (PV) (n = 32), Pemphigus Foliaceus (PF) (n = 43), and Control (C) (n = 67) groups

| Gender           | Disease | Age | Profession | Questionnaires<br>onset<br>to hair<br>sample<br>collect-<br>tion<br>(years) | Classes of pesticides (pg/mL) <sup>a</sup> |           |                 |        |      |      |                  |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
|------------------|---------|-----|------------|---|--|-----------|-----------------|--------|------|------|------------------|---------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--|
|                  |         |     |            |   | Residence                                  | Pesticide | Organochlorines |        |      |      | Organophosphates |               |          |            | Other     |                  |           |  |
|                  |         |     |            |   |  |           | Exposure        | Aldrin | BHC  | DDT  | Endrin           | Chlorpyriphos | Diazinon | Dichlorvos | Malathion | Methyl parathion | Parathion |  |
| PV <sub>1</sub>  | F       | 4   | 53         | Housemaid   | Urban                                      | NA        |                 | 9.2    |      |      |                  |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| PV <sub>2</sub>  | M       | 5   | 72         | Beekeeper   | Rural                                      | Yes       |                 | <LOQ   | <LOQ |      |                  |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>1</sub>  | M       | 13  | 58         | Peasant   | Urban                                      | Yes       |                 |        |      |      |                  | <LOQ          |          |            |           | 8.3              |           |  |
| PF <sub>2</sub>  | F       | 8   | 32         | Peasant   | Rural                                      | Yes       |                 |        |      |      |                  | 12.6          | <LOQ     | 6.4        |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>3</sub>  | F       | 4   | 72         | Housemaid   | Rural                                      | No        |                 |        |      |      |                  |               |          |            | 5.8       |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>4</sub>  | M       | 19  | 49         | Peasant   | Urban                                      | Yes       |                 | 12.2   |      |      |                  |               | 2.1      |            |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>5</sub>  | M       | 32  | 73         | Peasant   | Rural                                      | Yes       |                 |        | <LOQ |      |                  |               |          | <LOQ       |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>6</sub>  | F       | 18  | 52         | Peasant   | Rural                                      | Yes       |                 |        | <LOQ | <LOQ |                  |               | 7.6      |            |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>7</sub>  | F       | 6   | 51         | Housemaid   | Rural                                      | Yes       |                 | 8.3    |      |      |                  |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>8</sub>  | F       | 9   | 39         | Peasant   | Urban                                      | Yes       |                 |        |      |      |                  | 15.6          |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>9</sub>  | F       | 0   | 39         | Peasant   | Urban                                      | Yes       |                 |        |      |      |                  | 3.5           | 9.1      |            |           | 12.1             | <LOQ      |  |
| PF <sub>10</sub> | F       | 0   | 59         | Housemaid   | Rural                                      | Yes       |                 |        |      |      |                  |               |          | <LOQ       |           |                  |           |  |
| PF <sub>11</sub> | F       | 49  | 58         | NA  | Rural                                      | No        |                 | 7.4    |      |      |                  |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>1</sub>   | M       | NA  | 58         | Tapestry  | Urban                                      | No        |                 |        |      |      |                  | 8.4           |          |            | 5.5       |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>2</sub>   | F       | NA  | NA         | NA  | NA   | NA        |                 | 7.7    |      |      | 9.1              |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>3</sub>   | F       | NA  | NA         | Housemaid   | NA   | NA        |                 | <LOQ   |      |      |                  |               |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>4</sub>   | M       | NA  | 47         | NA  | NA   | NA        |                 |        |      |      |                  | 8.2           |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>5</sub>   | F       | NA  | NA         | NA  | NA   | NA        |                 |        |      |      |                  | <LOQ          |          | 7.2        |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>6</sub>   | M       | NA  | NA         | NA  | NA   | NA        |                 |        |      |      |                  |               | 6.3      |            |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>7</sub>   | F       | NA  | 54         | Dressmaker  | Urban                                      | Yes       |                 |        |      |      |                  | <LOQ          |          |            |           |                  |           |  |
| C <sub>8</sub>   | F       | NA  | 53         | Housemaid   | Urban                                      | No        |                 |        |      |      |                  |               | <LOQ     |            |           |                  |           |  |

NA, Not Available; LOQ, Limit of Quantification; BHC, Benzene Hexachloride; DDT, Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane.

<sup>a</sup> Dimethoate, Terbufos, Disulfoton, Heptachlor, Fenitrothion, Fenthion, Methidathion, Endosulfan, Ethion, Hexazinone, and Ketoendrin were not detected.

$p = 0.0753$ , respectively). PV and controls had similar pesticide detection ( $p = 0.4928$ ). Three (7%) PF tested positive for OP and OC. None of the 32 PV tested positive for OP.

Four OC and six OP were detected in patients and controls (Table 2). Among OC, aldrin was detected in two controls (< LOQ and 7.7 pg/mg); BHC in two PV and four PF (range  $\leq$  LOQ to 12.2 pg/mg); DDT in one PV and two PF (< LOQ); and endrin in one control (9.1 pg/mg). Among OP, chlorpyrifos was detected in two PF and three controls (range  $\leq$  LOQ to 12.6 pg/mg); diazinon in five PF and two controls (range  $\leq$  LOQ to 15.6 pg/mg); dichlorvos in four PF and two controls (range  $\leq$  LOQ to 9.1 pg/mg); malathion in two PF and one control (range  $\leq$  LOQ to 8.3 pg/mg); methyl parathion in one PF (12.1 pg/mg); and parathion in another PF (< LOQ). Some PF tested positive for three or four OP; diazinon and dichlorvos predominated. Diuron was detected in one PF. Seven (70%) of 10 PF were female, lived in rural areas on the onset of pemphigus, and had been exposed to pesticides during their peasant professional activities (Table 2).

## Discussion

Pemphigus pathogenesis is attributed to genetic background and environmental triggers, including pesticides.<sup>4-6,15-20,26</sup> There have been few reports of pesticides triggering autoimmunity. They have been described to cause immunotoxicity, endocrine dysfunction, neurodegenerative disorders, and cancer, among other conditions. Regarding autoimmune diseases, pesticides have mainly been related to systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis.<sup>27-30</sup>

To our knowledge, this is the first report on the measurement of pesticides in PV and PF patients, compared to controls. We have opted by measuring pesticides in hair samples because they are considered human biomarkers of pesticide exposure.<sup>31-33</sup> The present study confirmed contamination with OP and/or OC in hair samples in PV, PF and controls (6.3%, 25.6%, and 11.9%, respectively) ( $p = 0.0437$ ). Compared to PV, PF presented a higher frequency of contamination with pesticides ( $p = 0.034$ ). Moreover, 9 (20.9%) of 43 PF, but none of 32 PV tested positive for OP. Some PF tested positive for three or four OP: diazinon and dichlorvos predominated. OC was detected similarly in PV, PF, and controls although its use has been banned since 1985.<sup>34</sup>

The immune mechanism proposed for PV using chlorpyrifos,<sup>23</sup> which is one of the OP detected in PF and controls in this study, fails to explain PV pathogenesis in our study given that PV did not present any OP contamination.

How can the increased prevalence of PF pesticide contamination be explained? Historically, in Brazil, PF was distributed in rural areas close to rivers, and exposure to pesticides was considered a possible risk factor for PF in this country.<sup>20,35</sup> Nonetheless, the questionnaire-based interviews revealed no statistical difference among PV, PF, and controls in terms of urban or rural residency and exposure to pesticides. On the other hand, most PF with OC or OP hair contamination informed that they lived in rural areas at the onset of pemphigus and had been exposed to pesticides during their peasant professional activities (Table 2). Furthermore, the disease lasted longer in PF than in PV, which

may have contributed to the bioaccumulation of pesticides in PF.

Exposure to pesticides has been confirmed in blood donors and in the rural population in Brazil.<sup>36-38</sup> Moreover, the immunologic profile has been determined in Brazilian farmers exposed to pesticides.<sup>39,40</sup> Here, of 8 controls who presented contamination with pesticides (6 OP and 2 OC) (Table 2), autoantibodies against Dsg1 and Dsg3 were investigated using ELISA (MBL, Japan) in three (C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), with negative results. HLA class II profiles were determined in the eight controls (C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>8</sub>) (data not shown). Two of 6 controls contaminated with OP presented: one Control (C1) contaminated with diazinon and malathion presented both *HLA-DRB1\*01:01* and *HLA-DQB1\*05:01* alleles of susceptibility to PF, and another one (C5) contaminated with dichlorvos and chlorpyrifos presented *HLA-DQB1\*03:02* allele of susceptibility to PV. The other six controls did not present any associated alleles with PV and PF (for PV and PF-associated HLA alleles, see Brochado et al.<sup>4</sup>). Knowing that both clinical forms of pemphigus are prevalent in the northeastern region of the state of São Paulo, Brazil,<sup>35</sup> testing antibodies against desmogleins and determining HLA alleles in a wide population exposed to pesticides would provide important information on the pesticides-pemphigus relationship.

Our results corroborate with a recent report by Chang and Tsai (2022), adopting a systematic review and meta-analysis. They included five case-control studies<sup>15-17,19,20</sup> based on interviews regarding the relationship between pesticide exposure and PV and PF. They concluded to have an association of pesticide exposure with pemphigus.<sup>41</sup> Here, we demonstrated OC and/or OP hair contamination in the three studied groups, being PF group that presented the highest pesticide contamination.

## Conclusion

The present study confirmed hair contamination with OC and/or OP pesticides in PF, PV, and controls. PV patients did not test positive for OP. Some PF patients tested positive for three or four OP: diazinon and dichlorvos predominated. Although PV and PF provided similar information about living in rural areas on the onset of pemphigus and being exposed to pesticides, the detection of pesticides was more frequent in PF hair samples compared to PV. The pesticide-PF pathogenesis relationship still has to be elucidated.

## Financial support

This study was partially funded by the FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo) (#2010/51729-2); the first author received a Master's scholarship from CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior).

## Authors' contributions

Bruno de Martins: Approval of the final version of the manuscript; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; critical review of the manuscript.

Leonardo La Serra: Statistical analysis; approval of the final version of the manuscript; drafting and editing of the manuscript; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript.

Rafael Lanaro: Approval of the final version of the manuscript; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; critical review of the manuscript.

Ana Maria Roselino: Approval of the final version of the manuscript; conception and planning of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; effective participation in research orientation; intellectual participation in the propaedeutic and/or therapeutic conduct of the studied cases; critical review of the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

None declared.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Aline Lobo de Oliveira, MsC, and Daniela Francisca Nascimento, Ph.D., for helping with the hair sample collection. We also thank the pediatric laboratory technical group and the clinical staff of autoimmune dermatoses outpatient clinics of the University Hospital of Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil.

## Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2022.10.010>.

## References

1. Egu DT, Schmitt T, Waschke J. Mechanisms causing acantholysis in pemphigus—lessons from human skin. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:884067.
2. Rocha-Alvarez R, Ortega-Loayza AG, Friedman H, Campbell I, Aoki V, Rivitti EA, et al. Endemic pemphigus vulgaris. *Arch Dermatol*. 2007;143:895–9.
3. Celere BS, Vernal S, Brochado MJF, Segura-Muñoz SI, Roselino AM. Geographical foci and epidemiological changes of pemphigus vulgaris in four decades in Southeastern Brazil. *Int J Dermatol*. 2017;56:1494–6.
4. Brochado MJ, Nascimento DF, Campos W, Deghaide NH, Donadi EA, Roselino AM. Differential HLA class I and class II associations in pemphigus foliaceus and pemphigus vulgaris patients from a prevalent Southeastern Brazilian region. *J Autoimmun*. 2016;72:19–24.
5. Panhuber A, Lamorte G, Bruno V, Cetin H, Bauer W, Höftberger R, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of HLA class II associations in patients with IgG4 autoimmunity. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:9229.
6. Petzl-Erler ML. Beyond the HLA polymorphism: a complex pattern of genetic susceptibility to pemphigus. *Genet Mol Biol*. 2020;43:e20190369.
7. Ruocco V, Ruocco E, Lo Schiavo A, Brunetti G, Guerrera LP, Wolf R. Pemphigus: etiology, pathogenesis, and inducing or triggering factors: facts and controversies. *Clin Dermatol*. 2013;31:374–81.
8. Tavakolpour S. Pemphigus trigger factors: special focus on pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2018;310:95–106.
9. Robledo MA. Chronic methyl mercury poisoning may trigger endemic pemphigus foliaceus fogo selvagem: *Med Hypotheses*. 2012;78:60–6.
10. La Serra L, Salathiel AM, Trevilato TMB, Alves RIS, Segura-Muñoz SI, de Oliveira Souza VC, et al. Trace element profile in pemphigus foliaceus and in pemphigus vulgaris patients from Southeastern Brazil. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2019;51:1–35.
11. Machado ARDSR, La Serra L, Turatti A, Machado AM, Roselino AM. Herpes simplex virus 1 and cytomegalovirus are associated with pemphigus vulgaris but not with pemphigus foliaceus disease. *Exp Dermatol*. 2017;26:966–8.
12. Vernal S, Pepinelli M, Casanova C, Goulart TM, Kim O, De Paula NA, et al. Insights into the epidemiological link between biting flies and pemphigus foliaceus in Southeastern Brazil. *Acta Trop*. 2017;176:455–62.
13. Wambier CG, Struecker TA, Durski LN, de Araújo AG, Wambier SPF, Cappel MA, et al. Image gallery: a case of pemphigus vulgaris following simuliun spp. (Diptera) bites. *Br J Dermatol*. 2017;176:e100.
14. Vernal S, De Paula NA, Bollela VR, Lerner EA, Roselino AM. Pemphigus foliaceus and sand fly bites: assessing the humoral immune response to the salivary proteins maxadilan and LJM11. *Br J Dermatol*. 2020;183:958–60.
15. Brenner S, Tur E, Shapiro J, Ruocco V, D'Avino M, Ruocco E, et al. Pemphigus vulgaris: environmental factors. Occupational, behavioral, medical, and qualitative food frequency questionnaire. *Int J Dermatol*. 2001;40:562–9.
16. Wohl Y, Brenner S. Pemphigus in Israel—an epidemiologic analysis of cases in search of risk factors. *Isr Med Assoc J*. 2003;5:410–2.
17. Valikhani M, Kavusi S, Chams-Davatchi C, Daneshpazhooh M, Barzegari M, Ghiasi M, et al. Pemphigus and associated environmental factors: a case-control study. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2007;32:256–60.
18. Fisher KR, Higginbotham R, Frey J, Granese J, Pillow J, Skinner RB. Pesticide-associated pemphigus vulgaris. *Cutis*. 2008;82:51–4.
19. Bakhshi M, Manifar S, Azizi N, Asayesh H, Mansouri P, Nasiri S, et al. Risk factors in patients with oral pemphigus vulgaris: a case-control study. *Gen Dent*. 2016;64:e10–3.
20. Lombardi C, Borges PC, Chaul A, Sampaio SA, Rivitti EA, Friedman H, et al. Environmental risk factors in endemic pemphigus foliaceus (fogo selvagem). The cooperative group on fogo selvagem research. *J Invest Dermatol*. 1992;98:847–50.
21. Tsankov N, Kazandjieva J, Gantcheva M. Contact pemphigus induced by dihydroxy diphenyl trichloroethane. *Eur J Dermatol*. 1998;8:442–3.
22. Orion E, Barzilay D, Brenner S. Pemphigus vulgaris induced by diazinon and sun exposure. *Dermatology*. 2000;201:378–9.
23. Wohl Y, Goldberg I, Shirazi I, Brenner S. Chlorpyrifos exacerbating pemphigus vulgaris: a preliminary report and suggested in vitro immunologic evaluation model. *Skinmed*. 2006;5:111–3.
24. Tsatsakis AM, Tzatzarakis MN, Tutudaki M. Pesticide levels in head hair samples of cretan population as an indicator of present and past exposure. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2008;176:67–71.
25. gov.br [Internet]. Brasil. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária – Anvisa. Regularização de produtor e serviços. Agrotóxicos.

- Monografias de agrotóxicos. [cited 2022 Oct 15]. Available from: <https://www.gov.br/anvisa/pt-br/setorregulado/regularizacao/agrotoxicos/monografias/>.
26. Kridin K, Bieber K, Sadik CD, Schön MP, Wang G, Loser K, et al. Editorial: skin autoimmunity. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:627565.
  27. Corsini E, Sokooti M, Galli CL, Moretto A, Colosio C. Pesticide induced immunotoxicity in humans: a comprehensive review of the existing evidence. *Toxicology.* 2013;307:123–35.
  28. Mostafalou S, Abdollahi M. Pesticides: an update of human exposure and toxicity. *Arch Toxicol.* 2017;91:549–99.
  29. Mokarizadeh A, Faryabi MR, Rezvanfar MA, Abdollahi M. A comprehensive review of pesticides and the immune dysregulation: mechanisms, evidence and consequences. *Toxicol Mech Methods.* 2015;25:258–78.
  30. Parks CG, Santos ASE, Barhaiya M, Costenbader KH. Understanding the role of environmental factors in the development of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2017;31:306–20.
  31. Yusa V, Millet M, Coscolla C, Roca M. Analytical methods for human biomonitoring of pesticides. A review. *Anal Chim Acta.* 2015;891:15–31.
  32. Yusa V, Millet M, Coscolla C, Pardo O, Roca M. Occurrence of biomarkers of pesticide exposure in non-invasive human specimens. *Chemosphere.* 2015;139:91–108.
  33. Hardy EM, Dereumeaux C, Guldner L, Briand O, Vandendorren S, Oleko A, et al. Hair versus urine for the biomonitoring of pesticide exposure: results from a pilot cohort study on pregnant women. *Environ Int.* 2021;152:106481.
  34. bvsms.saude [Internet]. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. Gabinete do Ministro. Portaria nº 329, de 02 de Setembro de 1985. [cited 2022 Oct 15]. Available from: [https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/mapa\\_gm/1985/prt0329\\_02\\_09\\_1985.html/](https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/mapa_gm/1985/prt0329_02_09_1985.html/).
  35. Celere BS, Vernal S, La Serra L, Brochado MJF, Moschini LE, Roselino AM, et al. Spatial distribution of pemphigus occurrence over five decades in Southeastern Brazil. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2017;97:1737–45.
  36. Alengebawy A, Abdelkhaled ST, Qureshi SR, Wang MQ. Heavy metals and pesticides toxicity in agricultural soil and plants: ecological risks and human health implications. *Toxics.* 2021;9:42.
  37. dNascimento FP, Kuno R, Lemes VRR, Kussumi TA, Nakano VE, Rocha SB, et al. Organochlorine pesticides levels and associated factors in a group of blood donors in São Paulo, Brazil. *Environ Monit Assess.* 2017;189:380.
  38. Bortolotto CC, Hirschmann R, Martins-Silva T, Facchini LA. Pesticide exposure: a population-based study in a rural area in southern Brazil. *Rev Bras Epidemiol.* 2020;23:e200027.
  39. Jacobsen-Pereira CH, Cardoso CC, Gehlen TC, Santos CR, Santos-Silva MC. Immune response of Brazilian farmers exposed to multiple pesticides. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2020;202:110912.
  40. Parks CG, Santos ASE, Lerro CC, DellaValle CT, Ward MH, Alavanja MC, et al. Lifetime pesticide use and antinuclear antibodies in male farmers from the agricultural health study. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:1476.
  41. Chang HC, Tsai TY. Pesticide exposure is associated with pemphigus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2022;36:e733–5.